**READING STAGE 6**

<\_\_question>

Type=1

<\_\_block>

According to the editorial, the paper is ?  
<\_\_block>

[A] supporting euthanasia  
<\_\_block>

[B] supporting euthanasia in special circumstances  
<\_\_block>

[C] encouraging debate on the issue of euthanasia  
<\_\_block>

[D] opposed to euthanasia

<\_\_block>

[C]

<\_\_question>

Type=1

<\_\_block>

What argument does the paper put forward against euthanasia ?  
<\_\_block>

[A] it is a much too difficult subject to consider  
<\_\_block>

[B] No-one can be sure a person accepting euthanasia is of ‘sound mind’  
<\_\_block>

[C] The churches are opposed to euthanasia  
<\_\_block>

[D] Dr. Phillip Nitschke has a criminal record

<\_\_block>

[B]

<\_\_question>

Type=1

<\_\_block>

The editor says that Dr. Phillip Nitchke is ‘dicing with the law’.

By this, she is implying Dr.  Nitschke is ?  
<\_\_block>

[A] challenging the law on euthanasia issues  
<\_\_block>

[B] treating the matter as a game of life and death  
<\_\_block>

[C] taking a gamble on not getting caught  
<\_\_block>

[D] breaking the law by attending the meeting

<\_\_block>

[A]

<\_\_question>

Type=1

<\_\_block>

The editor describes euthanasia as a ‘hot topic. By this, she means ?  
<\_\_block>

[A] the paper will get a lot of letters of complaint  
<\_\_block>

[B] euthanasia is a topic that creates heated public debate  
<\_\_block>

[C] the press at the newspaper will run hot printing letters  
<\_\_block>

[D] many people will change their opinions about the subject after the meeting

<\_\_block>

[B]

<\_\_question>

Type=1

<\_\_block>

What argument is implied in the editorial for attending the euthanasia meeting? By attending the meeting people will ?

<\_\_block>

[A] be able to show their support for Dr. Phillip Nitschke

<\_\_block>

[B] write informed letters to the *Great Lakes Advocate*

<\_\_block>

[C] learn how to have a peaceful death, as istheir right

<\_\_block>

[D] be better informed on the euthanasia issue

<\_\_block>

[D]